arXiv:1401.4400v3 [math.AP] 31 May 2014

Remarks on two fourth order elliptic problems in whole space

Baishun Lai and Dong Ye

Abstract

We are interested in entire solutions for the semilinear biharmonic equation $\Delta^2 u = f(u)$ in $\mathbb{R}^N$, where $f(u) = e^u$ or $-u^{-p}$ $(p > 0)$. For the exponential case, we prove that for the polyharmonic problem $\Delta^{2m} u = e^u$ with positive integer m, any classical entire solution verifies $\Delta^{2m-1} u < 0$, this completes the results in [6, 14]; we obtain also a refined asymptotic expansion of radial separatrix solution to $\Delta^2 u = e^u$ in $\mathbb{R}^3$, which answers a question in [2]. For the negative power case, we show the nonexistence of the classical entire solution for any $0 < p \leq 1$.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2000): 35J91, 35B08, 35B53, 35B40.

Key words: Polyharmonique equation, entire solution, asymptotic behavior, nonexistence.

1 Introduction

In the present note, we are interested in entire solutions for two semilinear biharmonic equations

$$\Delta^2 u = e^u \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N \tag{1.1}$$

and

$$\Delta^2 u = -u^{-p} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N, \quad \text{where } p > 0. \tag{1.2}$$

Recently, the fourth order equations have attracted the interest of many researchers. In particular, a lot of efforts have been devoted to understand the existence, multiplicity, stability and qualitative properties of solutions for $\Delta^2 u = f(u)$ with classical nonlinearities, like the polynomial growth $f(u) = u^p$, the exponential growth $f(u) = e^u$ and the negative power situation $f(u) = -u^{-p}$. For equation (1.1), in the conformal dimension $N = 4$, (1.1) appears naturally in conformal geometry as the constant Q-curvature problem, the existence and asymptotic behaviour of solutions with finite total curvature, i.e. $e^u \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^4)$ were studied in [3, 9, 15]. Entire radial solutions of (1.1) were also studied for $N \geq 5$ in [1] and the stability of these entire radial solutions were considered in [2, 6]. In particular, it is proved by [2] that (1.1) admits no radial entire solution if $N = 2$.

Recently, Farina informed us that a very general nonexistence result was proved by Walter in 1957, see [12]. In particular, Walter proved that no classical entire solution exists in $\mathbb{R}^2$ for the polyharmonic problem $\Delta^{2m} u = e^u$ with any positive integer m. Here we give an alternative proof (see Corollary 2.1 and Remark 2.2 below). Indeed, we will make use of a general observation for entire solutions to $\Delta^{2m} u = e^u$. By classical or smooth solution to $\Delta^\ell u = f(u)$ with $\ell \in \mathbb{N}^*$, we mean a solution in the class $C^{2\ell}$, equivalently all 2ℓ-th order derivatives of u are continuous.

Theorem 1.1. *Let u be a classical solution of $\Delta^{2m} u = e^u$ in $\mathbb{R}^N$ with $m \in \mathbb{N}^*$, then $\Delta^{2m-1} u < 0$, i.e. $(-\Delta)^{2m-1} u > 0$ in $\mathbb{R}^N$.*

We note that similar results were obtained by [6, 14] under additional conditions. The authors in [6] considered solutions to (1.1) which are stable outside a bounded domain. In [14],

it was proved that $(-\Delta)^{\ell-1}u > 0$ for any classical entire solution of $(-\Delta)^{\ell}u = e^u$ with $\ell \geq 2$, satisfying $u(x) = o(|x|^2)$ at infinity.

It is worthy to mention that the corresponding result is no longer true for classical entire solutions to $(-\Delta)^{\ell}u = e^u$ with odd ℓ. In fact, Farina and Ferrero prove that for any $m \geq 1$, there are infinitely many entire radial solutions of $(-\Delta)^{2m+1}u = e^u$ such that $\Delta^{2m}u$ changes sign, see Lemma 6.8 and the proof of Lemma 5.4 in [7]. See also [13] for entire radial solutions of the equation $\Delta^{\ell}u = e^u$ with $\ell > 1$, $N \geq 3$.

On the other hand, for $N \geq 3$, it is known that (1.1) admits infinitely many smooth radial solutions. These radial solutions are of either exactly quadratic growth or logarithmic growth at infinity for $N \geq 4$ (see [1, 2]). For $N = 3$, it is proved in [2] that the radial solution is of either exactly quadratic growth or it verifies $u(r) \leq -Cr$ at infinity for some $C > 0$. More precisely, let $u_{\alpha,\beta}$ be the unique radial solution of

$$\begin{cases} \Delta^2 u_{\alpha,\beta}(r) = e^{u_{\alpha,\beta}(r)} \text{ for } \ r \in [0, R(\alpha,\beta)), \\ u_{\alpha,\beta}(0) = \alpha, \ \Delta u_{\alpha,\beta}(0) = \beta, \ u'_{\alpha,\beta}(0) = (\Delta u_{\alpha,\beta})'(0) = 0, \end{cases} \tag{1.3}$$

where $[0, R(\alpha,\beta))$ denotes the maximal interval of existence. Noting that the equation (1.3) is invariant under the scaling transformation

$$u_\lambda(x) = u(\lambda x) + 4\ln\lambda, \ \lambda > 0.$$

Therefore, we need only to understand the case $\alpha = 0$. We will denote $u_{0,\beta}$ by u_β and $R(0,\beta)$ by $R(\beta)$ for simplicity. It has been proved in [1, 2] that any local solutions to (1.3) satisfies

$$u_\beta(r) \geq \frac{\beta}{2N} r^2 \ \text{ for all } \ r \in [0, R(\beta)). \tag{1.4}$$

Furthermore, there exists $\beta_0 \in (-\infty, 0)$ such that

(i) For $\beta < \beta_0$, then $R(\beta) = +\infty$ and in addition to (1.4), one has the upper bound

$$u_\beta(r) \leq -\frac{\beta_0 - \beta}{2N} r^2 \ \text{ for all } \ r \in [0, \infty);$$

(ii) For $\beta = \beta_0$, the solution u_{β_0}, called separatrix verifies

$$\begin{cases} u_{\beta_0}(r) \leq -Cr, & \text{if } N = 3 \text{ and } r \text{ large, with } C > 0; \\ u_{\beta_0}(r) = -4\ln\left(1 + \frac{e^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}}{8\sqrt{6}} r^2\right), & \text{for } N = 4; \\ \lim_{r\to\infty} [u_{\beta_0}(r) + 4\ln r] = \ln[8(N-2)(N-4)], & \text{for } N \geq 5. \end{cases}$$

(iii) For $\beta > \beta_0$, $R(\beta) < \infty$ and $\lim_{r \nearrow R(\beta)} u_\beta(r) = \infty$.

An open problem was left for the exact asymptotic behaviour of the separatrix u_{β_0} in dimension three, see [2]. The following result answers this issue.

Theorem 1.2. *Let β_0 be defined as above and $N = 3$. Then we have, as $r \to \infty$, $u_{\beta_0}(r) = \alpha_1 r + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 r^{-1} + O(e^{-cr})$ where $c > 0$ and*

$$\alpha_1 = \frac{-1}{8\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{u_{\beta_0}} dx, \ \ \alpha_2 = \frac{1}{8\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |x| e^{u_{\beta_0}} dx, \ \ \alpha_3 = \frac{-1}{24\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |x|^2 e^{u_{\beta_0}} dx.$$

The second part of the note is devoted to consider the classical solutions of equation (1.2). Recently, the radial solutions to (1.2) are studied in [5], and some Liouville type results are obtained for stable entire solutions of (1.2) in [8]. We can remark that all these results concern the negative exponent $-p$ with $p > 1$, and it seems curious for us that no study existed for entire solutions of (1.2) with $p \leq 1$. Here we prove that no such entire solution could exist if $p \in (0, 1]$, that is

Theorem 1.3. *If $0 < p \leq 1$, the equation (1.2) admits no entire smooth solution.*

In fact, our proof is inspired by the work of Choi-Xu in [4], where the above result has been established for $N = 3$.

2 Proof of Theorem 1.1

In this section, we prove Theorem 1.1. In the following, for a given function f, we write

$$\overline{f}(r) = \fint_{\partial B_r(0)} f d\sigma = \frac{1}{|\partial B(0,r)|} \int_{\partial B_r(0)} f d\sigma, \quad \forall\ r > 0,$$

where $|\partial B(0,r)|$ denotes the volume of the sphere. Furthermore, we will consider $\Delta^{2m} u = e^u$ as a system:

$$v_1 := u, \ \ v_{k+1} := \Delta v_k \text{ for } 1 \leq k \leq 2m-1 \text{ so that } \Delta v_{2m} = e^u \ \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N. \tag{2.1}$$

Proof of Theorem 1.1. First we show that $v_{2m} = \Delta^{2m-1} u \leq 0$. If it is not the case, there is a point $x_0 \in R^N$ such that $v_{2m}(x_0) > 0$. Up to a translation, we may assume that $x_0 = 0$. Therefore with v_k given by (2.1), $\overline{v_k}(r)$ satisfy

$$\Delta \overline{v_k} = \overline{v_{k+1}} \text{ for } 1 \leq k \leq 2m-1, \quad \Delta \overline{v_{2m}} = \overline{e^u} \geq e^{\overline{u}} \ \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N. \tag{2.2}$$

Remark that $\Delta \overline{v_{2m}} = r^{1-N}(r^{N-1}\overline{v_{2m}}')' = \overline{e^u} > 0$, so $\overline{v_{2m}}$ is increasing w.r.t. the radius r. There holds $\Delta \overline{v_{2m-1}} \geq \overline{v_{2m}}(0) > 0$. Integrating it, we get

$$v_{2m-1}(r) \geq v_{2m-1}(0) + \frac{\overline{v_{2m}}(0)}{2N} r^2.$$

Hence $v_{2m-1}(r) \to \infty$ as $r \to \infty$. By iteration, we see that $\overline{u}(r) = \overline{v}_1(r) \to \infty$ as $r \to \infty$. Now Let $r = e^t, w(t) = \overline{u}(e^t)$, direct calculation yields

$$e^{4mt} e^{w(t)} = e^{4mt} e^{\overline{u}(r)} \leq e^{4mt} \Delta^{2m} \overline{u}(r) = w^{(4m)}(t) + \sum_{i=1}^{4m-1} c_i w^{(i)}(t) \tag{2.3}$$

where c_i are some constants depending only on N and i. Here and after, $g^{(i)}$ denotes the i-th derivative of a function g. Since $\lim_{t\to\infty} w(t) = \infty$, there exists T_1 such that

$$e^{4mt} e^{w(t)} \geq w^2(t) \ \text{ for all } \ t \geq T_1.$$

We apply now the test function method developed by Mitidieri and Pohozaev in [11]. More precisely, we can choose a nonnegative function $\phi_0 \in C_0^\infty[0,\infty)$ satisfying $\phi_0 > 0$ in $[0,2)$,

$$\phi_0(\tau) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } \tau \in [0,1] \\ 0 & \text{for } \tau \geq 2. \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad \int_0^2 \frac{|\phi_0^{(i)}(\tau)|^2}{\phi_0(\tau)} d\tau := A_i < \infty \ \ \forall\ i \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Let $T > T_1$, multiplying (2.3) by $\phi(t) = \phi_0\left(\frac{t-T_1}{T-T_1}\right)$ and integrating by parts, we obtain

$$\int_{T_1}^{\infty} \left[\phi^{(4m)}(t) + \sum_{i=1}^{4m-1} (-1)^i c_i \phi^{(i)}(t) \right] w(t) dt \geq \int_{T_1}^{\infty} w^2(t)\phi(t) dt - C. \tag{2.4}$$

By Young's inequality, for any $\epsilon > 0$, $\exists\ C_\epsilon > 0$ such that

$$w(t)\phi^{(i)}(t) \leq \epsilon w^2(t)\phi(t) + C_\epsilon \frac{|\phi^{(i)}(t)|^2}{\phi(t)}, \quad \forall\ t \in [T_1, 2T - T_1).$$

Then, provided that ϵ is chosen sufficiently small, (2.4) yields

$$C'\sum_{i=1}^{4m} A_i(T-T_1)^{1-2i} = C'\sum_{i=1}^{i=4m}\int_{T_1}^{2T-T_1}\frac{|\phi^{(i)}(t)|^2}{\phi(t)}dt \geq \int_{T_1}^{2T-T_1} w^2(t)\phi(t)dt - C'',$$
$$\geq \int_{T_1}^{T} w^2(t)dt - C'',$$

with fixed constants $C', C'' > 0$. Let $T \to \infty$, we observe a contradiction with $w(t) \to \infty$. So we have $v_{2m} \leq 0$ in $\mathbb{R}^N$.

Now suppose that there exists $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^N$ verifying $v_{2m}(x_0) = 0$, then x_0 is a maximum of v_{2m}, hence $\Delta v_{2m}(x_0) \leq 0$ which is just impossible as $\Delta v_{2m} = e^u$, so $\Delta^{2m-1}u = v_{2m} < 0$ in $\mathbb{R}^N$. □

As an immediate consequence of Theorem 1.1, we can claim

Corollary 2.1. *For any $m \in \mathbb{N}^*$, the equation $\Delta^{2m}u = e^u$ admits no classical entire solution in $\mathbb{R}^2$.*

Proof. We suppose by contradiction that u is a smooth function verifying $\Delta^{2m}u = e^u$ in $\mathbb{R}^2$. Using Theorem 1.1, $v := \Delta^{2m-1}u < 0$ in $\mathbb{R}^2$. Moreover,

$$\overline{v}'(r) = \frac{1}{2\pi r}\int_{\mathbb{B}_r(0)} \Delta\overline{v}dx = \frac{1}{2\pi r}\int_{\mathbb{B}_r(0)} \Delta^{2m}udx = \frac{1}{2\pi r}\int_{\mathbb{B}_r(0)} e^u dx \geq \frac{C}{r}, \quad \forall\, r \geq 1,$$

where C is a positive constant. Hence

$$\overline{v}(r) - \overline{v}(1) = \int_1^r \overline{v}'(r)dr \geq C\ln r, \quad \forall\, r \geq 1.$$

This contradicts the fact $\overline{v}(r) < 0$ if we tend r to ∞, so we are done. □

Remark 2.2. By adapting similar approach, the results of Theorem 1.1 and Corollary 2.1 hold true for the equation $\Delta^{2m}u = f(u)$ with general convex, positive nonlinearity f verifying

$$\liminf_{t\to\infty} f(t)t^{-1-\mu} > 0 \quad \text{for some } \mu > 0. \tag{2.5}$$

We should mention that Walter proved in [12] the nonexistence of smooth entire solution to $\Delta^{2m}u = f(u)$ in $\mathbb{R}^2$ for any $m \in \mathbb{N}^*$ and any positive function f satisfying (2.5), without the convexity assumption.

3 Proof of Theorem 1.2

We will use here the notations in Introduction for radial solutions, and also the results (i)-(iii) cited there, given by [1, 2]. Recall that u_β is the unique radial solution of

$$\Delta^2 u_\beta = e^{u_\beta}, \;\; \Delta u_\beta(0) = \beta, \;\; u_\beta(0) = u_\beta'(0) = (\Delta u_\beta)'(0) = 0; \tag{3.1}$$

and the solution exists globally if and only if $\beta \leq \beta_0$. First, we show the following characterization of the separatrix solution u_{β_0}.

Proposition 3.1. *For any $\beta \leq \beta_0$, $\lim_{r\to\infty}\Delta u_\beta(r) \leq 0$ and $\lim_{r\to\infty}\Delta u_\beta(r) = 0$ if and only if $\beta = \beta_0$.*

Proof. For any solution u of (1.1),

$$\frac{d\Delta u(r)}{dr} = r^{N-1}\int_0^r s^{1-N}e^u ds > 0.$$

According to Theorem 1.1, $\lim_{r\to\infty}\Delta u_\beta(r) = \sigma \le 0$ exists. For $\beta < \beta_0$, we see that $\sigma < 0$, since $u_\beta \le -Cr^2$ by (i) and $\sigma = 0$ implies readily that $u_\beta(r) = o(r^2)$ at ∞.

Similarly, we easily obtain $\lim_{r\to\infty}\Delta u_{\beta_0} = 0$ for $N \ge 4$ by (ii). Consider now u_{β_0} when $N = 3$. In fact, we will prove that if $\sigma < 0$, then $\beta < \beta_0$.

For $N = 3$, (1.3) reads

$$(r^4 u'''(r))' = r^4 e^u, \quad \forall\, r > 0. \tag{3.2}$$

Integrating over $[0, r]$, we see that for all $r \ge 1$,

$$r^4 u'''(r) = \int_0^r s^4 e^{u(s)} ds \le \int_0^\infty s^4 e^{u(s)} ds < \infty.$$

Here we used the fact that $u(r) \le -Cr$ for r large. Thus $u'''(r) < Cr^{-4}$ for $r \ge 1$. Suppose now $\sigma = \lim_{r\to\infty}\Delta u(r) < 0$ for some entire solution u of (3.1) with $N = 3$. As

$$u'(r) = r^{-2}\int_0^r s^2\Delta u(s) ds,$$

we have then

$$u(r) \sim \frac{\sigma}{6}r^2, \;\; u'(r) \sim \frac{\sigma}{3}r, \;\; u''(r) \sim \frac{\sigma}{3} \quad \text{when } r \to \infty.$$

Consider now the function $\tilde{u}$ defined by

$$\tilde{u}(r) = -\epsilon r^2 + \ln(1 + r) - b$$

where

$$\epsilon > 0, \quad b \ge \ln\left(\max_{\mathbb{R}_+}\psi\right) \;\text{ with }\; \psi(r) := \frac{r(1+r)^5}{2(r+4)}e^{-\epsilon r^2} \text{ in } \mathbb{R}_+.$$

Direct computation shows that $\tilde{u}$ is supersolution of (3.2) in $\mathbb{R}^3$ and

$$\tilde{u}'(r) = -2\epsilon r + \frac{1}{r+1}, \;\; \tilde{u}''(r) = -2\epsilon - \frac{1}{(r+1)^2}, \;\; \tilde{u}'''(r) = \frac{2}{(r+1)^3}.$$

Hence, if we fix $\epsilon \in (0, -\sigma/6)$ and some large enough r_0, there hold $u^{(i)}(r_0) < \tilde{u}^{(i)}(r_0)$ for $0 \le i \le 3$. By continuous dependence on initial data, there is $\beta_1 > \beta = -\Delta u(0)$ such that $u_{\beta_1}^{(i)}(r_0) < \tilde{u}^{(i)}(r_0)$ for $0 \le i \le 3$. We claim then

$$u_{\beta_1}(r) < \tilde{u}(r) \quad \text{for all } r \ge r_0. \tag{3.3}$$

If it is not the case, then

$$r_1 = \sup\{s > r_0 \text{ s.t. } u_{\beta_1}(r) < \tilde{u}(r) \text{ in } [r_0, s]\} < \infty.$$

By (3.2), we have $(r^4 u'''_{\beta_1}(r))' < (r^4\tilde{u}'''(r))'$ in $[r_0, r_1)$, and successive integrations yield that $u'_{\beta_1} < \tilde{u}'$ on $[r_0, r_1)$, hence $u_{\beta_1}(r_1) < \tilde{u}(r_1)$. This contradicts the definition of r_1, so the claim (3.3) holds true. By the point (iii), u_{β_1} is defined then for all $r \ge 0$ which means that $\beta_1 \le \beta_0$, so $\beta < \beta_0$. □

Proof of Theorem 1.2. To simplify the presentation, we erase the index β_0 and denote u_{β_0} by u. Recall that $u \le -Cr$ for some $C > 0$ by (ii). Let $v = -\Delta u$, then we have

$$v(r) = \beta_0 - \int_0^r s^{-2} \int_0^s t^2 e^{u(t)} dt ds, \quad \forall\, r > 0.$$

Applying Proposition 3.1, as $\lim_{r\to\infty} v(r) = 0$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} v(r) = \int_r^\infty s^{-2} \int_0^s t^2 e^{u(t)} dt ds &= \frac{1}{r} \int_0^r t^2 e^{u(t)} dt + \int_r^\infty t e^{u(t)} dt \\ &= \frac{1}{4\pi r} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^u dx - \frac{1}{r} \int_r^\infty t^2 e^u dt + \int_r^\infty t e^u dt. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$(r^2 u'(r))' = ar + r \int_r^\infty t^2 e^u dt - r^2 \int_r^\infty t e^u dt \ \text{ where } \ a = -\frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^u dx. \tag{3.4}$$

Integrating (3.4), we obtain

$$u(r) = \frac{ar}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^r t^3 e^u dt - \frac{1}{6r} \int_0^r t^4 e^u dt + \frac{r^2}{6} \int_r^\infty t e^u dt.$$

Then it is easy to get the claimed expansion for u. □

4 Proof of Theorem 1.3

The proof of Theorem 1.3 is based on the following lemma.

Lemma 4.1. *If u is a smooth solution of (1.2), then $\Delta u > 0$ in $\mathbb{R}^N$.*

Indeed, this Lemma is an immediate consequence of the followin result.

Lemma 4.2. *If u is a C^4 lower bounded function verifying that $\Delta^2 u < 0$ in $\mathbb{R}^N$, then $\Delta u > 0$ in $\mathbb{R}^N$.*

Proof. First we show by contradiction that $\Delta u \ge 0$. Suppose that there is $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^N$ verifying $\Delta u(x_0) < 0$. By translation, we can assume that $x_0 = 0$. Let $w = \Delta u$, then $\Delta \overline{u} = \overline{w}$ and $\Delta \overline{w} = \overline{\Delta^2 u} < 0$ where $\overline{u}$ and $\overline{w}$ are the average over sphere for u and w. Consequently $\overline{w}'(r) \le 0$, hence $\overline{w}(r) \le \overline{w}(0) = \Delta u(0) < 0$. Therefore $\Delta \overline{u} \le \overline{w}(0)$ in $\mathbb{R}^N$ which yields

$$\overline{u}(r) \le \overline{u}(0) + \frac{\overline{w}(0)}{2N} r^2$$

We get $\overline{u}(r) < 0$ for r large enough, which is impossible since u is lower bounded. So $\Delta u \ge 0$ in $\mathbb{R}^N$. Now if there is $x_1 \in \mathbb{R}^N$ such that $\Delta u(x_1) = 0$. Thus x_1 is a minimum point of Δu and $\Delta^2 u(x_1) \ge 0$, which contradicts the hypothesis, so the proof is completed. □

From the above proof, as $\overline{w} \le w(0)$, we immediately have

Corollary 4.3. *If u is a C^4 lower bounded solution in $\mathbb{R}^N$ verifying $\Delta^2 u < 0$ in $\mathbb{R}^N$, then there exists $C > 0$ such that $\overline{u}(r) \le C(1 + r^2)$ for any $r \ge 0$.*

Proof of Theorem 1.3. For $N = 1$, we have $u'' > 0$ from Lemma 4.1 and $u^{(4)} < 0$. However, except being constant, any function cannot be concave and lower bounded on $\mathbb{R}$, so we get the nonexistence of entire solution for $u^{(4)} = u^{-p}$ in $\mathbb{R}$ for any $p > 0$. For $N = 2$, the superharmonic

function Δu is bounded from below by Lemma 4.1, so it must be constant, again it cannot verify the (1.2), so we are done.

Consider from now on $N \geq 3$, we claim that if u is a smooth solution of (1.2), then

$$\text{there exists } C > 0 \text{ such that } \overline{u}(r) \geq Cr^{\frac{4}{p+1}}, \ \forall\, r > 0. \tag{4.1}$$

In fact, $\overline{w}$ is decreasing where $w = \Delta u$, and $\overline{u}$ is increasing as $\overline{w} > 0$ by Lemma 4.1. Using $\Delta\overline{u} = \overline{w}$, we have, by the monotonicity of $\overline{w}$,

$$\overline{u}(r) \geq u(0) + \frac{\overline{w}(r)}{2N} r^2. \tag{4.2}$$

On the other hand, By Jensen's inequality,

$$f(r) := -\Delta\overline{w}(r) = \overline{u^{-p}}(r) \geq \overline{u}^{-p}(r) > 0.$$

For any $s \geq r > 0$,

$$\overline{w}'(s) = -s^{1-N} \int_0^s t^{N-1} f(t)dt \leq -s^{1-N} \int_0^r t^{N-1} f(t)dt,$$

so we get, using the monotonicity of $\overline{u}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{w}(r) \geq \overline{w}(2r) + \int_r^{2r} s^{1-N} \int_0^r t^{N-1} f(t)dtds &\geq \overline{w}(2r) + Cr^{2-N} \int_0^r t^{N-1} f(t)dt \\ &\geq Cr^{2-N} \int_0^r t^{N-1} \overline{u}^{-p}(t)dt \\ &\geq Cr^2 \overline{u}^{-p}(r), \end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

Inserting into (4.2), we have

$$\overline{u}(r) \geq u(0) + Cr^4 \overline{u}^{-p}(r) \geq Cr^4 \overline{u}^{-p}(r).$$

Hence (4.1) follows.

Combining (4.1) and Corollary 4.3, if u is a classical solution of (1.2), necessarily there holds $p \geq 1$. Finally, we will exclude the case $p = 1$. Let u be a smooth entire solution to $\Delta^2 u = -u^{-1}$, then $\overline{u}$ is a subsolution to the following equation

$$\Delta^2 U(r) + U^{-1}(r) = 0, \ \ U(0) = u(0), \ U''(0) = \overline{u}''(0), \ U'(0) = U'''(0) = 0. \tag{4.4}$$

Consider

$$Z(r) = u(0) + \frac{\overline{u}''(0)}{2} r^2.$$

Obviously, Z is biharmonic and a supersolution of (4.4). A comparison principle (see Lemma 3.2 in [10]) ensures that $Z \geq \overline{u}$, and there is a solution U to (4.4) satisfying $\overline{u} \leq U \leq Z$.

By Lemma 4.1, $W := \Delta U > 0$, so U is increasing. As $\Delta W = -U^{-1} < 0$, W is decreasing and $W(r) \geq Cr^2 U^{-1}(r)$, see for example (4.3). By Corollary 4.3, $\lim_{r\to\infty} W(r) = \alpha > 0$. Therefore $\lim_{r\to\infty} \frac{U}{r^2} = \frac{\alpha}{2N}$ and

$$\lim_{r\to\infty} rW'(r) = -\lim_{r\to\infty} r^{2-N} \int_0^r \frac{t^{N-1}}{U(t)} dt = -\lim_{r\to\infty} \frac{r^2}{(N-2)U(r)} = -\frac{2N}{(N-2)\alpha} < 0.$$

This implies that $W(r) < 0$ for r large enough, which contradicts $W > 0$. □

Acknowledgements: The authors are grateful to A. Farina for sending us the interesting preprint [7] and the papers [12, 13] of Walter.

References

[1] G. Arioli, F. Gazzola, and H. C. Grunau, *Entire solutions for a semilinear fourth order elliptic problem with exponential nonlinearity,* J. Differential Equations 230 (2006), 743-770.

[2] E. Berchio, A. Farina, A. Ferrero, and F. Gazzola, *Existence and stability of entire solutions to a semilinear fourth order elliptic problem,* J. Differential Equations 252 (2012) 2569-2616.

[3] S.Y.A. Chang, W. Chen, *A note on a class of higher order conformally covariant equations,* Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. 7 (2001) 275-281.

[4] Y.S. Choi, X. Xu, *Nonlinear biharmonic equations with negative exponents*, J. Differential Equations 246 (2009) 216-234.

[5] J. Dàvila, L. Flores, I. Guerra, *Multiplicity of solutions for a fourth order problem with power-type nonlinearity,* Maths. Annalen 348 (2010), 143-193.

[6] L. Dupaigne, M. Ghergu, O. Goubet, and G. Warnault, *The Gel'fand problem for the biharmonic operator,* Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. 208 (2013), 725-752.

[7] A. Farina, A. Ferrero, *Existence and stability properties of entire solutions to the polyharmonic equation* $(-\Delta)^m u = e^u$ *for any* $m \geq 1$, arXiv:1403.0729 (2014).

[8] Z. Guo, J. Wei, *Liouville type results and regularity of the extremal solutions of biharmonic equation with negative exponents,* Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. 34 (2014), 2561-2580.

[9] C.S. Lin, *A classification of solutions of a conformally invariant fourth order equation in* $\mathbb{R}^N$*,* Comment. Math. Helv. 73 (1998) 206-231.

[10] P.J. Mckenna, W. Reichel, *Radial solutions of singular nonlinear biharmonic equations and applications to conformal geometry,* Electron. J. Differential Equations 37 (2003), 1-13.

[11] E. Mitidieri, S. Pohozaev, *A priori estimates and blou-up of solutions to nonlinear partial differential equations and inqualities,* Proc. Steklov Inst. Math. 234 (2001), 1-362.

[12] W. Walter, *Ganze Lösungen der Differentialgleichung* $\Delta^p u = f(u)$*,* Math. Z. 67 (1957), 32-37.

[13] W. Walter, *Zur Existenz ganzer Lösungen der Differentialgleichung* $\Delta^p u = e^u$, Arch. Math. (Basel) 9 (1958), 308-312.

[14] J. Wei, X. Xu, *Classification of solutions of higher order conformally invariant equations,* Math. Ann. 313 (1999), 207-228.

[15] J. Wei, D. Ye, *Nonradial solutions for a conformally invariant fourth order equation in* $\mathbb{R}^4$, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations 32 (2008) 373-386.

Baishun Lai - Institute of Contemporary Mathematics, Henan University, Kaifeng 475004, P.R. China.
E-mail address: `laibaishun@henu.edu.cn`

Dong Ye - IECL, UMR 7502, Département de Mathématiques, Université de Lorraine, Ile de Saulcy, 57045 Metz, France.
E-mail address: `dong.ye@univ-lorraine.fr`